

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/830,176	04/21/2004	Joel D. Martz	3285A	7731
7590 08/10/2005		EXAMINER		
DAVID M. WARREN 655 OAKLAND AVE.			SINGH, ARTI R	
CEDARHURST, NY 11516			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/830,176	MARTZ, JOEL D.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ms. Arti Singh	1771			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDO:1E	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	•			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 April 2004 is/are: a) [Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. □ accepted or b)□ objected to b				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/830,176

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The uses of Trademarks/Tradenames have been noted throughout this application. The specific name/mark should be in ALL CAPS, followed by either a trademark or copyright symbol and be accompanied by the generic terminology. Although the use of Trademarks/Tradenames is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks/names should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner, which might adversely affect their validity as a trademark or tradename. To describe physical or other properties of material by mere use of trademark is objectionable since it has tendency to make trademark descriptive of product rather than leaving trademark to serve its traditional purpose, which is to identify product's source of origin. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 6074738 issued to von Fragstein et al.
- 4. USPN 6074738 discloses a flexible laminate, which are especially, suited for water-resistant but water vapor permeable textile materials (column 1, lines 10-15). In the broadest aspects of the invention a microporous polymer layer (a) is adhered to an air impermeable polymer layer (b). The microporous layer has voids through the internal

Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

structure, which forms an interconnected continuous air path from one side to anther. Both layers may chemically be the same, however in a preferred aspect the microporous polymer layer is polytetrafluoroethylene (column2). Said layer can also be made of polyethylene or polyamide or polyesters (column 3, lines 47-53). The layer thicknesses, densities and pore size of the layers can vary depending upon the application (column 6, lines 43-45. In Example 11 the composite that is prepared is a microporous PTFE having a pore size of 0.25 microns and a weight of 20 g/m 2, which is coated with a polyurethane resin. This example combined with that of Example 3 indicate that the thickness of the microporous layer is a lot thicker than that of the coating layer. However, it is the position of the Examiner that thickness of the composite or its individual layers are all result effective variables and that optimizing the thickness of any of the layers would directly affect the strength of the laminate. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a skilled artisan to use a coating in the thickness of 0.5 -10 microns in the laminate of von Fragstein et al, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F. 2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). In the present invention, one would have optimized the thickness of the coating lay or to be 0.5-10 microns, motivated by the desire to obtain a composite that is flexible.

With regard to the ASTM test standards in claims 8-11, it is the position of the Examiner that if structurally and chemically the article is the same then testing it against any standard should provide the same test results and would be inherent to article.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ms. Arti Singh whose telephone number is 571-272-1483. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/830,176 Page 4

Art Unit: 1771

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ms. Singh Primary Examiner Art Unit 1771

Ars 08/07/05